

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LEZLIE BYRUM, et al.,  
Plaintiffs, No. MC S-09-0110 MCE GGH

v.

COMPASS VISION, INC., et al.,  
Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

JOANNE ELIZABETH CLEVELAND, et al.,  
Plaintiffs, No. MC S-09-0111 MCE GGH

v.

COMPASS VISION, INC., et al.,  
Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

LORIE GARLICK,  
Plaintiff, No. MC S- 09-0112 MCE GGH

v.

COMPASS VISION, INC., et al.,  
Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

1 DEBORAH JEAN SMITH, et al.,

2 Plaintiffs,

No. MC S-09-0113 MCE GGH

3 v.

4 COMPASS VISION, INC., et al.,

5 Defendants.

6 \_\_\_\_\_ /  
7 MAGDA GONZALEZ, et al.,

8 Plaintiffs,

No. MC S-09-0114 MCE GGH

9 v.

10 COMPASS VISION, INC., et al.,

ORDER

11 Defendants.

12 \_\_\_\_\_ /  
13 Presently before the court are motions for protective order in each of these cases.  
14 Movant State of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, has filed to properly notice the  
15 motions for hearing. Furthermore, the motions do not include copies of the subpoenas at issue.

16 In addition to rectifying these defects, movant will be directed on re-noticing the  
17 motions to brief the issue of why, when a *state wide* governmental entity is subpoenaed in  
18 connection with ongoing cases in other districts, the Eastern District should be the focal point for  
19 discovery matters in those cases.

20 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

21 1. The motions for protective order, filed December 3, 2009, are vacated.

22 Movant shall properly notice the motions for hearing pursuant to E. D. Local Rule 251(a). The  
23 re-noticed motions shall include copies of the subpoenas at issue.

24 \\\

25 \\\

26 \\\

