1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

BARBARA WEBB,

V.

11

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

12

13

COUNTY OF TRINITY, LINDA 14 WRIGHT, LAURIE SUMNER, ELIZABETH HAMILTON, and 15 DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

16

17

18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NO. CIV. S-10-0012 LKK/CMK

ORDER

Plaintiff in this case brings claims relating to former employment with defendant County of Trinity. The County, together with the three individual defendants, has filed a motion to dismiss noticed for hearing on February 8, 2010. Pursuant to Local Rule 230(c), plaintiff's opposition or statement of non-opposition was due on January 25, 2010. Plaintiff has not filed an opposition or statement of non-opposition.

Based on the above, the court ORDERS as follows:

Counsel for plaintiff is hereby ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE in 1.

Τ	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	ш

26

writing why sanctions should not issue in accordance with Local Rule 110, including a fine of \$150 and/or dismissal of this case. See also Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b), Link v. Wabash R.R., 370 U.S. 626, 633 (1962). Counsel shall file a response to this order to show cause no later than February 8, 2010.

- 2. Hearing on defendants' motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 8) is CONTINUED to February 22, 2010 at 10:00 a.m.
- 3. Plaintiff shall file and serve her opposition or statement of non-opposition on or before February 8, 2010. Defendants may file and serve a reply no later than February 16, 2010.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 28, 2010.

LAWRENCE K. KARLTON

SENIOR JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT