(HC) Coffin v	v. Cate
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6 7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
10	MICHAEL ROBERT COFFIN,  Petitioner,  No. 2:10-cv-26 JAM EFB P
12	,
	VS.
13	MATTHEW CATE,  OPDER
14	Respondent. ORDER
15	D. 1/4 in an analysis of the second of the s
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding with counsel, has filed this application for a
17	writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.
19	On January 15, 2013, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days.
22	Respondent has filed objections to the findings and recommendations and petitioner has filed a
23	response thereto.
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule
25	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
26	entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by

Doc. 45

proper analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed January 15, 2013, are adopted in full; 2. Respondent's motion to dismiss (Dckt. No. 36) is denied; and 3. Respondent is directed to file an answer to the petition within 60 days. DATED: April 1, 2013 /s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE