

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 HUNG DUONG NGUON,

No. CIV S-10-0054-CMK-P

12 Petitioner,

13 vs.

ORDER

14 KATHELEEN L. DICKINSON,

15 Respondent.
16 _____/

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of
18 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has consented to Magistrate Judge
19 jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and no other party has been served or appeared in the
20 action.

21 On February 11, 2010, the court directed petitioner to submit either a completed
22 application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis or the full filing fee for this action within 30
23 days. Petitioner was warned that failure to comply may result in dismissal of this action for lack
24 of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 11-110. To
25 date, petitioner has failed to comply.

26 ///

1 Petitioner shall show cause in writing, within 30 days of the date of this order,
2 why this action should not be dismissed for failure to resolve the fee status for this case.
3 Petitioner is again warned that failure to respond to this order may result in dismissal of the
4 action for the reasons outlined above, as well as for failure to prosecute and comply with court
5 rules and orders. See id.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7
8 DATED: April 21, 2010

9 
10 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26