

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MATHEW ROBERT SMITH,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-10-0070 DAD P

vs.

PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has not, however, filed an in forma pauperis affidavit or paid the required filing fee (\$5.00). See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914(a); 1915(a). Petitioner will be provided the opportunity to either submit the appropriate affidavit in support of a request to proceed in forma pauperis or submit the appropriate filing fee.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Petitioner shall submit, within thirty days from the date of this order, an affidavit in support of his request to proceed in forma pauperis or the appropriate filing fee; petitioner's failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation for dismissal of this action; and

////

1                   2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send petitioner a copy of the in forma  
2 pauperis form used by this district.

3 DATED: January 14, 2010.

4  
5   
6 \_\_\_\_\_  
7 DALE A. DROZD  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7 DAD:9:mp  
8 smit0070.101a

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26