

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Scott N. Johnson,)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
Triple Crown Auto Sales, Inc.,)
Individually and d/b/a Triple)
Crown Auto Sales; Robert D.)
Bone, Individually and d/b/a)
Triple Crown Auto Sales,)
Individually and as Co-Trustee)
of Bone Family Revocable Trust)
of 2000, dated June 6, 2000;)
Lisa M. Bone, Individually and)
as Co-Trustee of Bone Family)
Revocable Trust of 2000, dated)
June 6, 2000,)
Defendants.)
)
2:10-cv-00098-GEB-DAD
ORDER RE: SETTLEMENT AND
DISPOSITION

Defendants filed a "Notice of Settlement" on February 25, 2011, in which they state, "this matter has settled[, and] . . . [t]he settling parties anticipate having the dispositional documents on file with the Court within twenty (20) calendar days." (ECF No. 21.)

Therefore, a dispositional document shall be filed no later than March 17, 2011. Failure to respond by this deadline may be construed as consent to dismissal of this action without prejudice, and a dismissal order could be filed. See L.R. 160(b) ("A failure to file dispositional papers on the date prescribed by the Court may be grounds for sanctions.").

1 The final pretrial conference scheduled for November 21, 2011,
2 will remain on calendar in the event no dispositional document is filed,
3 or if this action is not otherwise dismissed.¹

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: February 28, 2011

6 
7 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
8 United States District Judge

26 ¹ The final pretrial conference will remain on calendar, because
27 the mere representation that a case has been settled does not justify
28 discontinuance of calendering a scheduling proceeding. Cf. Callie v.
 Near, 829 F.2d 888, 890 (9th Cir. 1987) (indicating that a representation
 that claims have been settled does not necessarily establish the
 existence of a binding settlement agreement).