1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	RHONDA LEWIS,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-10-0110 EFB P
12	VS.
13	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
14	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	
17	Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus. See 28
18	U.S.C. § 2254. She seeks leave to proceed in forma pauperis. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915.
19	Examination of the in forma pauperis affidavit reveals that petitioner is unable to afford
20	the costs of suit.
21	A petitioner seeking a writ of habeas corpus must name as respondent the person having
22	custody over her. 28 U.S.C. § 2242; Rule 2(a), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. This
23	person ordinarily is the warden of the facility where petitioner is confined. See Stanley v.
24	California Supreme Court, 21 F.3d 359, 360 (9th Cir. 1994). Petitioner names as respondent the
25	People of the State of California, who do not have custody over petitioner. Petitioner has not
26	named the proper respondent.
	1

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that:

1. Petitioner's request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is granted; and

2. The January 14, 2010 petition is dismissed with leave to file an amended petition
naming the proper respondent within 30 days of the date of this order. Petitioner's failure to file
an amended petition will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed without
prejudice. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send to petitioner the form Petition for a Writ of
Habeas Corpus used in this court.

B Dated: July 26, 2010.

EĎMUND F. BŘĚNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE