

1 As plaintiff is now represented by counsel, all documents filed in this action on behalf of
2 plaintiff must be filed by his attorney. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a).

3 Counsel was also ordered to show cause why the claims against defendants Garza and
4 Rodriquez should not be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Counsel represents that his
5 searches for the information necessary to identify and serve defendants Garza and Rodriquez have
6 been unsuccessful, but that he might be able to obtain the information through discovery. ECF
7 No. 189. Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires that an action be dismissed
8 as to a defendant not served within 120 days after filing the complaint, unless the time is enlarged
9 based upon a demonstration of good cause. As noted in the July 17, 2013 order, more than
10 enough time has passed to allow plaintiff to locate and complete service of process on defendants
11 Garza and Rodriquez. However, the court will grant plaintiff a final opportunity to locate these
12 defendants through limited discovery.

13 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 14 1. Plaintiff's pro se motions (ECF Nos. 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 191, 192) are denied.
- 15 2. Discovery is opened for the limited purpose of allowing plaintiff to obtain information
16 necessary to identify and locate defendants Garza and Rodriguez. Discovery in this
17 regard shall be completed no later than 90 days from the date of this order. Within 21
18 days thereafter, all parties, including defendants Bailey, Shaid, Johnson, State of
19 California, and California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, shall submit
20 a joint status report, including a proposed schedule for further proceedings in this
21 action.

22 Dated: December 3, 2013.

23 
24 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26
27
28