1	
2	
2	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DANIEL LUCAS,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-10-0136 DAD P
12	VS.
13	S.M. SALINAS, Warden,
14	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
15 16	/ Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se. In accordance with the court's
	/ Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se. In accordance with the court's January 29, 2010 order, petitioner has filed a signed, amended petition for a writ of habeas
16	
16 17	January 29, 2010 order, petitioner has filed a signed, amended petition for a writ of habeas
16 17 18	January 29, 2010 order, petitioner has filed a signed, amended petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.
16 17 18 19	January 29, 2010 order, petitioner has filed a signed, amended petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Since petitioner may be entitled to relief if the claimed violation of constitutional
16 17 18 19 20	January 29, 2010 order, petitioner has filed a signed, amended petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Since petitioner may be entitled to relief if the claimed violation of constitutional rights is proved, respondent will be directed to file a response to petitioner's habeas petition.
16 17 18 19 20 21	January 29, 2010 order, petitioner has filed a signed, amended petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Since petitioner may be entitled to relief if the claimed violation of constitutional rights is proved, respondent will be directed to file a response to petitioner's habeas petition. In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	January 29, 2010 order, petitioner has filed a signed, amended petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Since petitioner may be entitled to relief if the claimed violation of constitutional rights is proved, respondent will be directed to file a response to petitioner's habeas petition. In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Respondent is directed to file a response to petitioner's February 4, 2010
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	January 29, 2010 order, petitioner has filed a signed, amended petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Since petitioner may be entitled to relief if the claimed violation of constitutional rights is proved, respondent will be directed to file a response to petitioner's habeas petition. In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Respondent is directed to file a response to petitioner's February 4, 2010 amended petition within sixty days from the date of this order. <u>See</u> Rule 4, Fed. R. Governing §
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	January 29, 2010 order, petitioner has filed a signed, amended petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Since petitioner may be entitled to relief if the claimed violation of constitutional rights is proved, respondent will be directed to file a response to petitioner's habeas petition. In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Respondent is directed to file a response to petitioner's February 4, 2010 amended petition within sixty days from the date of this order. <u>See</u> Rule 4, Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. An answer shall be accompanied by all transcripts and other documents relevant to
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	January 29, 2010 order, petitioner has filed a signed, amended petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Since petitioner may be entitled to relief if the claimed violation of constitutional rights is proved, respondent will be directed to file a response to petitioner's habeas petition. In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Respondent is directed to file a response to petitioner's February 4, 2010 amended petition within sixty days from the date of this order. <u>See</u> Rule 4, Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. An answer shall be accompanied by all transcripts and other documents relevant to the issues presented in the petition. <u>See</u> Rule 5, Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases;

1	2. If the response to the habeas petition is an answer, petitioner's reply, if any,
2	shall be filed and served within thirty days after service of the answer;

3 3. If the response to the habeas petition is a motion, petitioner's opposition or
4 statement of non-opposition to the motion shall be filed and served within thirty days after
5 service of the motion, and respondent's reply, if any, shall be filed and served within fifteen days
6 thereafter; and

4. The Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this order, a copy of the February
4, 2010 amended petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, and a copy of
the form regarding consent or request for reassignment on Michael Patrick Farrell, Senior
Assistant Attorney General.

11 DATED: February 12, 2010.

le A. Dage

DALE A. DROZD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE