(PC) Decker v. Gauther	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	KEVIN DECKER,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:10-cv-0149 JFM (PC)
12	vs.
13	SUSAN GAUTHER,
14	Defendant. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	
16	A recent court order was served on plaintiff's address of record and returned by
17	the postal service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 182(f), which
18	requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change.
19	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for
20	plaintiff's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. See Local Rules 182(f) and
21	110.
22	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
23	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
24	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
25	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
26	Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served

Doc. 11

within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: August 9, 2010. /014;deck0149.33a