1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	JOHN HUTCHENS,	
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-232 FCD KJM PS	
12	vs.	
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, <u>ORDER AND</u>	
14	Defendant. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>	
15	/	
16	In this action, plaintiff is proceeding in propria persona. Plaintiff, who requests	
17	leave to proceed in forma pauperis, has filed a document that appears to be an attempt to file a	
18	motion to intervene in a pending case, <u>United States of America v. Iron Mountain Mines, Inc.</u> ,	
19	(E. D. Cal), Case No. 2:91-CV-0768 JAM JFM. In that case, Judge Mendez issued an order	
20	forbidding John Hutchens from filing any more documents in the case unless he was represente	d
21	by an attorney. See Docket no. 1275, filed January 5, 2009. In the present action, there appear	S
22	to be no independent basis for federal jurisdiction with respect to plaintiff's claims. The clear	
23	gravamen of plaintiff's complaint is intervention in the Iron Mountain Mines action. It appears	•
24	plaintiff opened a new civil action simply to avoid the prohibition of Judge Mendez's order.	
25	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request to proceed in	
26	forma pauperis is denied.	
	1	

1

IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed and the case closed. These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections shall be served and filed within seven days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

10 DATED: February 12, 2010.

12 hutchens.57