1

2

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	SCOTT N. JOHNSON, ) ) 2:10-cv-00246-GEB-KJM
12	Plaintiff, )
13	v. ) AND CONTINUING STATUS
14	JEFFREY A. WELCH, et al., ) <u>(PRETRIAL SCHEDULING)</u> <u>CONFERENCE</u>
15	Defendants. )
16	)

An Order Setting Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference was filed February 1, 2010 in which a status conference was scheduled in this case on May 24, 2010, and a joint status report was required to be filed no later than fourteen days prior to the status conference. The Order further required a status report be filed regardless of whether a joint report could be procured. No status report was filed.

Therefore, Plaintiff is Ordered to Show Cause ("OSC") in a writing to be filed no later than 4:00 p.m. on June 10, 2010, why sanctions should not be imposed against him under Rule 16(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for failure to file a timely status Plaintiff shall also state in the written response whether a

28

1	hearing is requested on the OSC. $^1$ If a hearing is requested, it will
2	be held on June 24, 2010, at 9:00 a.m., just prior to the status
3	conference, which is rescheduled to that date. A status report shall
4	be filed no later than fourteen days prior to the status conference.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: May 11, 2010
7	ANCIMI
8	GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
9	United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
22	
23	
25	
26	
27	<sup>1</sup> "If the fault lies with the attorney, that is where the impact of sanction should be lodged. If the fault lies with the
28	clients, that is where the impact of the sanction should be lodged." Matter of Sanction of Baker, 744 F.2d 1438, 1442 (10th
-	Cir. 1984), <u>cert. denied</u> , 471 U.S. 1014 (1985). Sometimes the faults of attorneys, and their consequences, are visited upon
	clients. <u>In re Hill</u> , 775 F.2d 1385, 1387 (9th Cir. 1985).
	2