1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	BRIAN SCOTT SEEFELDT,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-0247 GGH P
12	VS.
13	CALIFORNIA FORENSIC
14	MEDICAL GROUP, et al.,
15	Defendants. ORDER & FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
16	
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner who is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis.
18	Plaintiff seeks relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
19	By order filed April 22, 2010, the court determined that plaintiff had stated a
20	colorable claim against defendant Kadevari. The court also dismissed plaintiff's claims against
21	the remaining defendants with twenty-eight days leave to amend. The twenty-eight day period
22	has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the
23	court's order.
24	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a
25	district judge to this case.
26	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that all defendants but Kadevari be dismissed
	1

1	from this action without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
2	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
3	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
4	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
5	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
6	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections
7	shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are
8	advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the
9	District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
10	DATED: August 17, 2010
11	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
12	GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14	GGH: AB
15	seef247.b3
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I

I