

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RONALD KENT,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-10-0270 CKD P

vs.

MIKE MARTEL,

Respondent.

ORDER AND

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /

On August 2, 2011, a court order was served on petitioner’s address of record and returned by the postal service. It appears that petitioner has failed to comply with Local Rule 182(f), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall randomly assign a United States District Judge to this action; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for petitioner’s failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. See Local Rules 182(f) and 110.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written

1 objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s  
2 Findings and Recommendations.” Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the  
3 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951  
4 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 Dated: December 5, 2011

6   
7 CAROLYN K. DELANEY  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9  
10 /mp  
11 kent0270.133a  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26