1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JOSEPH A. JOHNSON,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-0282 MCE KJM P
12	VS.
13	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND
14	REHABILITATION, et al.,
15	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
16	/
17	By order filed May 7, 2010, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days
18	leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and
19	plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed
21	without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
22	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
23	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-
24	one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
25	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
26	Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the

1

1	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
2	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	DATED: August 5, 2010.
4	A Mullo/
5	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	john0282.fta
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
20	
	2
	I

I