1	EILEEN M. TEICHERT, City Attorney (SBN 167027) SHERI M. CHAPMAN, Senior Deputy City Attorney (SBN 215775) SChapman@cityofsacramento.org CITY OF SACRAMENTO Mailing: P.O. Box 1948, Sacramento, CA 95812-1948		
2			
3			
4	Office: 915 I Street, 4th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 808-5346		
5	Telecopier: (916) 808-7455		
6	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF SACRAMENTO And AMY CROSBY		
7	And Anti CROOD I		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	SUSAN LUDWIG,	Case No.: 2:10-CV-00325-JAM-EFB	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING CITY OF	
13	·	SACRAMENTO AND DET. AMY	
14	VS.	CROSBY'S MOTION TO DISMISS, WITH PREJUDICE	
15	CITY OF SACRAMENTO POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al.,	Hearing Date: September 21, 2011	
16	Defendants.	Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 6	
17		Hon. John A. Mendez	
18			
19	Defendants CITY OF SACRAMENTO and Det. AMY CROSBY's Motion to Dismiss the		
20	Amended Complaint pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure came regularly		
21	for hearing before the court on September 21, 2011. Plaintiff SUSAN LUDWIG was present and was		
22 23	represented by David J. Beauvais. Defendants were represented by the City Attorney, Sr. Deputy		
24	City Attorney Sheri M. Chapman appearing therefore.		
25	The Court having considered the moving papers, the opposition brief, and the parties' oral		
26	argument, grants Defendants' Motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint, with prejudice.		
27	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows: (1) OFFICER MULLIGAN is dismissed as a party to this action.		
28	(1) OFFICER WULLIGAN IS dismissed as	a party to this action.	
	I		

1	(2) The claims alleged against the CITY OF SACRAMENTO are dismissed with prejudice	
2	The claims are barred by the two year statute of limitations. Leave to amend is denied	
3	because amendment of the First Amended Complaint would be futile.	
4	(3) The claim for relief alleged against Det. AMY CROSBY is dismissed with prejudice. The	
5	claim is barred by the two year statute of limitations. Leave to amend is denied because	
6	amendment of the First Amended Complaint would be futile.	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8	DATED: October 4, 2011	
9	/s/ John A. Mendez HON. JOHN A. MENDEZ	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		