(PC) Ortiz v. Cox et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	ROMAN ORTIZ,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. 2:10-cv-0351 JFM (PC)
12	vs.	
13	COX, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>
15		
16	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis. On April 14, 2011,	
17	defendant filed a motion to compel plaintiff's response to requests for production of documents,	
18	set one, and verified responses to interrogatories, set one. Defendant also seeks sanctions.	
19	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff show cause within 30 days	
20	of the date of this order why defendant's motion should not be granted.	
21	DATED: May 25, 2011.	
22		10 000
23		John J. World
24		UNITED STATÉS MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25	/014;orti0351.osc	
26		

Doc. 20