1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	CHERE D. WARD
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:10-cv-0376 GEB KJN PS
12	V.
13	DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, and TOM VILSAK, SECRETARY
14	DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE, <u>ORDER</u>
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	·,
18	Plaintiff, who is proceeding without counsel, filed her complaint on February 12,
19	2010. ¹ (Dkt. No. 1.) Plaintiff contemporaneously filed an in forma pauperis application. (Dkt.
20	No. 2.) The court now reviews the in forma pauperis application and pro se complaint pursuant
21	to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).
22	I. <u>Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis</u>
23	Plaintiff Chere D. Ward has requested leave to proceed in forma pauperis
24	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Plaintiff's application and declaration make the showing required
25	¹ This case was referred to the undersigned pursuant to Eastern District of California
26	Local Rule 302(c)(21) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).
	1

by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). Accordingly, the undersigned will grant plaintiff's request to
proceed in forma pauperis.

3

II.

Screening of the Complaint

The determination that a plaintiff may proceed in forma pauperis does not 4 5 complete the required inquiry. The court may also screen complaints brought by parties proceeding in forma pauperis. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); see also Calhoun v. Stahl, 254 F.3d 6 7 845, 845 (9th Cir. 2001) (per curiam) ("[T]he provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) are not limited to prisoners."); accord Lopez v. Smith, 203 F.3d 1122, 1129 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc). 8 9 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the court is directed to dismiss a case filed pursuant to the in 10 forma pauperis statute if, at any time, it determines that the allegation of poverty is untrue, or if 11 the action is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks 12 monetary relief against an immune defendant.

13 Under the "notice pleading" standard of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a plaintiff's complaint must provide, in part, a "short and plain statement" of plaintiff's claims 14 15 showing entitlement to relief. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2); see also Paulsen v. CNF, Inc., 559 F.3d 16 1061, 1071 (9th Cir. 2009). A complaint should be dismissed for failure to state a claim if, 17 taking all well-pleaded factual allegations as true, it does not contain "enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." See Coto Settlement v. Eisenberg, 593 F.3d 1031, 18 1034 (9th Cir. 2010) (quoting Ashcroft v. Iqbal, U.S. , 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009)). 19 "A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to 20 21 draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged." Caviness 22 v. Horizon Cmty. Learning Ctr., Inc., 590 F.3d 806, 812 (9th Cir. 2010) (quoting Iqbal, 129 S. 23 Ct. at 1949). The court accepts "all facts alleged as true and construes them in the light most favorable to the plaintiff." County of Santa Clara v. Astra USA, Inc., 588 F.3d 1237, 1241 n.1 24 25 (9th Cir. 2009).

26

The facts as alleged in the complaint are summarized as follows. Plaintiff avers

1 generally that she experienced employment discrimination based on her hearing disability. 2 Plaintiff is employed by defendant Department of Agriculture ("DOA") as a "Forestry 3 Technician, purchasing agent at McClellen, California." (Dkt. No. 1 at 2.) The DOA is a federal 4 agency, employer or labor organization with headquarters in Washington, D.C. and with an office 5 located in this district. (Id.) Plaintiff has been employed by the DOA since 1984 and has been in her current position since 2003. Plaintiff is an excepted service employee hired under the 6 7 "handicap program as a result of her hearing impairment which requires that she utilize a hearing aid." (Id.) Plaintiff became aware of a DOA Forestry Technician position open in Blairsden, 8 9 California. Plaintiff applied for this position, but was informed in June 2008 that she would not 10 be supported in this application because of "undocumented safety issues, the nature of the 11 Beckwourth Sales Prep position, and the dangerous nature of working conditions and the requirements of the target grade of working alone." (Dkt. No. 1 at 3.) Plaintiff believes that 12 13 someone else was selected for the position. (Id. at 4.) Plaintiff alleges that defendants failed to promote her to a position which she applied for and for which she was qualified to perform, but 14 15 that defendants discriminated against her because of her disability. (Id. at 4.) Plaintiff seeks 16 general and compensatory damages, prejudgment interest, costs, attorneys' fees and such other 17 relief as the court deems proper. (Id. at 5.)

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the court is directed to dismiss a case filed 18 19 pursuant to the in forma pauperis statute if, at any time, it determines that the allegation of 20 poverty is untrue, or if the action is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief 21 may be granted, or seeks monetary relief against an immune defendant. The court cannot make 22 this determination on the present record. Therefore, the court reserves decision on these issues. 23 Accordingly, the undersigned will order service of the complaint on the two defendants in this 24 action, Department of Agriculture and Tom Vilsak, the Secretary of the Department of 25 Agriculture.

26 ////

1	Accordingly, good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis is GRANTED. (Dkt. No. 2.)
3	2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to issue forthwith all process pursuant to
4	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4.
5	3. The Clerk of Court shall send plaintiff two USM-285 forms, one summons, a
6	copy of the complaint, this court's scheduling order, and the forms providing notice of the
7	magistrate judge's availability to exercise jurisdiction for all purposes and the court's voluntary
8	dispute resolution program.
9	4. Plaintiff is advised that the U.S. Marshal will require:
10	a. One completed summons;
11	b. One completed USM-285 form for each defendant;
12	c. A copy of the complaint for each defendant, with an extra copy for the
13	U.S. Marshal; and,
14	d. A copy of this court's scheduling order and related documents for each
15	defendant.
16	5. Plaintiff shall supply the United States Marshal, within 15 days from the date
17	this order is filed, all information needed by the Marshal to effect service of process, and <i>shall</i> ,
18	within 10 days thereafter, file a statement with the court that such documents have been
19	submitted to the United States Marshal.
20	6. The U.S. Marshal shall serve process, with copies of this court's scheduling
21	order and related documents, within 90 days of receipt of the required information from plaintiff,
22	without prepayment of costs. The United States Marshal shall, within 10 days thereafter, file a
23	statement with the court that such documents have been served. If the U.S. Marshal is unable,
24	for any reason, to effect service of process on any defendant, the Marshal shall promptly report
25	that fact, and the reasons for it, to the undersigned.
26	////
	4

I

1	7. The Clerk of Court shall serve a copy of this order on the United States
2	Marshal, 501 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 (tel. 916-930-2030).
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	DATED: May 25, 2010
5	
6	
7	TIND DAL
8	KENDALL J. NEWMAN
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
24 25	
25 26	
20	5
	5
l	1

I