1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	HAROLD LEE SAMUELSON,	1:10-cv-00114-SMS (HC)
12 13	Petitioner,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,
14	VS.	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	DAVID TRISTAN	
16	Respondent.	
17	/	
18	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to	
19	28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
20	§ 1915.	
21	The petitioner is challenging a parole decision that took place at High Desert State Prison,	
22	which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern	
23	District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the Sacramento Division.	
24	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(b), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper	
25	court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action	
26	will be transferred to the Sacramento Division.	This court will not rule on petitioner's request to
		-1-

1	proceed in forma pauperis.	
2	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
3	1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
4	2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of	
5	California sitting in Sacramento; and	
6	3. All future filings shall reference the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be	
7	filed at:	
8	United States District Court Eastern District of California	
9	501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814	
10		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	Dated: February 16, 2010 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
	-2-	