Ш

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JOHN CROSSWHITE,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-409 JAM KJM PS
12	VS.
13	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER,
14	Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
15 16	/ Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. Plaintiff has requested authority
	/ Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. Plaintiff has requested authority pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma pauperis. This proceeding was referred to this
16	
16 17	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma pauperis. This proceeding was referred to this
16 17 18	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma pauperis. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 72-302(c)(21).
16 17 18 19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma pauperis. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 72-302(c)(21). Plaintiff has submitted the affidavit required by § 1915(a) showing that plaintiff is
16 17 18 19 20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma pauperis. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 72-302(c)(21). Plaintiff has submitted the affidavit required by § 1915(a) showing that plaintiff is unable to prepay fees and costs or give security for them. Accordingly, the request to proceed in
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma pauperis. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 72-302(c)(21). Plaintiff has submitted the affidavit required by § 1915(a) showing that plaintiff is unable to prepay fees and costs or give security for them. Accordingly, the request to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma pauperis. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 72-302(c)(21). Plaintiff has submitted the affidavit required by § 1915(a) showing that plaintiff is unable to prepay fees and costs or give security for them. Accordingly, the request to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). The federal in forma pauperis statute authorizes federal courts to dismiss a case if
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma pauperis. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 72-302(c)(21). Plaintiff has submitted the affidavit required by § 1915(a) showing that plaintiff is unable to prepay fees and costs or give security for them. Accordingly, the request to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). The federal in forma pauperis statute authorizes federal courts to dismiss a case if the action is legally "frivolous or malicious," fails to state a claim upon which relief may be
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma pauperis. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 72-302(c)(21). Plaintiff has submitted the affidavit required by § 1915(a) showing that plaintiff is unable to prepay fees and costs or give security for them. Accordingly, the request to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). The federal in forma pauperis statute authorizes federal courts to dismiss a case if the action is legally "frivolous or malicious," fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C.
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma pauperis. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 72-302(c)(21). Plaintiff has submitted the affidavit required by § 1915(a) showing that plaintiff is unable to prepay fees and costs or give security for them. Accordingly, the request to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). The federal in forma pauperis statute authorizes federal courts to dismiss a case if the action is legally "frivolous or malicious," fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).

A claim is legally frivolous when it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in
 fact. <u>Neitzke v. Williams</u>, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); <u>Franklin v. Murphy</u>, 745 F.2d 1221, 1227 28 (9th Cir. 1984). The court may, therefore, dismiss a claim as frivolous where it is based on an
 indisputably meritless legal theory or where the factual contentions are clearly baseless.
 <u>Neitzke</u>, 490 U.S. at 327.

6 In order to avoid dismissal for failure to state a claim a complaint must contain 7 more than "naked assertions," "labels and conclusions" or "a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action." Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555-57 (2007). In other 8 9 words, "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by mere conclusory 10 statements do not suffice." Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009). Furthermore, a 11 claim upon which the court can grant relief has facial plausibility. <u>Twombly</u>, 550 U.S. at 570. "A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to 12 13 draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged." Iqbal, 129 14 S. Ct. at 1949. When considering whether a complaint states a claim upon which relief can be 15 granted, the court must accept the allegations as true, Erickson v. Pardus, 127 S. Ct. 2197, 2200 16 (2007), and construe the complaint in the light most favorable to the plaintiff, see Scheuer v. 17 Rhodes, 416 U.S. 232, 236 (1974).

18 The court finds the allegations in plaintiff's complaint so vague and conclusory 19 that it is unable to determine whether the current action is frivolous or fails to state a claim for 20 relief. The court has determined that the complaint does not contain a short and plain statement 21 as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2). Although the Federal Rules adopt a flexible pleading 22 policy, a complaint must give fair notice and state the elements of the claim plainly and 23 succinctly. Jones v. Community Redev. Agency, 733 F.2d 646, 649 (9th Cir. 1984). Plaintiff 24 must allege with at least some degree of particularity overt acts which defendants engaged in that 25 support plaintiff's claim. Id. Because plaintiff has failed to comply with the requirements of ///// 26

2

Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2), the complaint must be dismissed. The court will, however, grant leave to
 file an amended complaint.

If plaintiff chooses to amend the complaint, plaintiff must set forth the
jurisdictional grounds upon which the court's jurisdiction depends. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a).
Further, plaintiff must demonstrate how the conduct complained of has resulted in a deprivation
of plaintiff's federal rights. See Ellis v. Cassidy, 625 F.2d 227 (9th Cir. 1980).

It appears plaintiff is seeking damages for a wrongful incarceration. In <u>Heck v.</u>
<u>Humphrey</u>, 512 U.S. 477 (1994), the United States Supreme Court held that a suit for damages
on a civil rights claim concerning an allegedly unconstitutional conviction or imprisonment
cannot be maintained absent proof "that the conviction or sentence has been reversed on direct
appeal, expunged by executive order, declared invalid by a state tribunal authorized to make
such determination, or called into question by a federal court's issuance of a writ of habeas
corpus, 28 U.S.C. § 2254." <u>Heck</u>, 512 U.S. at 486.

Under <u>Heck</u>, the court is required to determine whether a judgment in plaintiff's
favor in this case would necessarily invalidate his conviction or sentence. <u>Id</u>. If it would, the
complaint must be dismissed unless the plaintiff can show that the conviction or sentence has
been invalidated. This court finds that plaintiff's action implicates the validity of plaintiff's
conviction. In any amended complaint, plaintiff must show that his conviction has been
invalidated.

In addition, plaintiff is informed that the court cannot refer to a prior pleading in
order to make plaintiff's amended complaint complete. Local Rule 15-220 requires that an
amended complaint be complete in itself without reference to any prior pleading. This is
because, as a general rule, an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint. See Loux v.
<u>Rhay</u>, 375 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir. 1967). Once plaintiff files an amended complaint, the original
pleading no longer serves any function in the case. Therefore, in an amended complaint, as in an
/////

3

original complaint, each claim and the involvement of each defendant must be sufficiently
 alleged.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis is granted;

2. Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed; and

3. Plaintiff is granted thirty days from the date of service of this order to file an
amended complaint that complies with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,
and the Local Rules of Practice; the amended complaint must bear the docket number assigned
this case and must be labeled "Amended Complaint"; plaintiff must file an original and two
copies of the amended complaint; failure to file an amended complaint in accordance with this
order will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.

12 DATED: July 22, 2010.

TRATE JUDG

crosswhite.ifp-lta