I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JOSE L. AGREDANO,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-10-0427 KJM EFB P
12	VS.
13	S. SALINAS,
14	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding without counsel, filed an application for a writ of
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Judgment was entered in this action on February
18	17, 2012. On February 27, 2012, petitioner filed a notice of appeal. On March 6, 2012,
19	petitioner filed a request to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal.
20	Rule 24(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure provides that a party to a district
21	court action who desires to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal must file a motion in the district
22	court which:
23	(A) shows in the detail prescribed by Form 4 of the Appendix of Forms the party's inability to pay or to give security for fees and costs;
24	<ul><li>(B) claims an entitlement to redress; and</li><li>(C) states the issues that the party intends to present on appeal.</li></ul>
25	, ,
26	Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(1). Petitioner's motion fails to satisfy all three requirements.
	1

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's March 6, 2012 request to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal is denied without prejudice. The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this order on the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and petitioner is hereby informed that he may file a motion to proceed in forma pauperis in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. See Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(5). DATED: April 30, 2012. is m EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE