(HC) Stanford v. Gonzalez

Doc. 19

whereas substantial duplication of labor would result if the actions were heard by different District Judges or Magistrate Judges.

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123(a) merely has the result that the related cases are assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned. In Case No. CIV S-10-1431 CMK, the parties have consented to have a United States Magistrate Judge conduct all proceedings in the case. In Case No. CIV S-10-438 FCD DAD, the parties have not so consented.

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that the action denominated as CIV S-10-1431 CMK is reassigned to Magistrate Judge Dale A Drozd for all further proceedings. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as No. CIV S-10-1431 DAD. The Magistrate Judge and District Judge assigned to case No. CIV S-10-438 FCD DAD will remain the same.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment. DATED: August 25, 2010.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DAD:8

stanford438.relate

23

25

26