timely as it was filed one week after judgment was issued. Under Rule 59(e), three grounds may

26

Doc. 110

justify reconsideration: (1) an intervening change in controlling law; (2) the availability of new evidence; or (3) the need to correct clear error or prevent manifest injustice. See 389 Orange Street Partners v. Arnold, 179 F.3d 656, 665 (9th Cir. 1999). Local Rule 230(j) requires that a party seeking reconsideration of a district court's order must brief the new or different facts or circumstances which were not shown upon such prior motion, or what other grounds exist for the motion.

Petitioner asserts in his motion that he was wrongly convicted for his crimes due to his Paxil medication which caused him to be involuntarily intoxicated when he committed his crimes. Petitioner's arguments which respect to his Paxil medication do not justify reconsidering the order and judgment. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's motion for reconsideration (Dkt. No. 103.) is DENIED.

Dated: October 31, 2012

RLAND E. BURRELL, JR.

Senior United States District Judge