1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	SIMON F. RANTEESI,
9	Petitioner, No. CIV S-10-0439 GEB GGH P
10	VS.
11	RANDY GROUNDS, et al.,
12	Respondents. <u>ORDER</u>
13	/
14	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no
15	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d
16	453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at
17	any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing
18	§ 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be
19	served by the appointment of counsel at the present time.
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's December 20, 2010
21	motion for appointment of counsel (Docket No. 52) is denied without prejudice to a renewal of
22	the motion at a later stage of the proceedings.
23	DATED: January 18, 2011
24	/a/ Cracom, C. Hallows
25	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
26	GREGORY G. HOLLOWS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	GGH:mp; rant0439.110(8)
	Docket