1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JAY L. SELLERS,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-0469 GEB GGH PS
12	VS.
13	ASSORTED AND DIVERSE INDIVIDUALS EMPLOYED BY
14	THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT
15	OF CALIFORNIA,
16	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
17	/
18	By order filed June 1, 2010, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and twenty-eight
19	days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. In that order, the court informed plaintiff
20	of the deficiencies in his complaint. The twenty-eight day period has now expired, and plaintiff
21	has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.
22	Plaintiff has apparently decided to rest on the dismissed complaint. For the
23	reasons given in the June 1, 2010, order, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be
24	dismissed with prejudice. See Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
26	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
	1

1	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
2	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
3	Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
4	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
5	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
6	DATED: August 6, 2010 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	sellers0469.fta
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I