

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARKUS M. HALL, MONIQUE G.
RANKIN, LINDSEY K. SANDERS,

Plaintiffs,

No. 2:10-cv-0508 DAD

v.

CITY OF FAIRFIELD, OFFICER NICK
McDOWELL, OFFICER CHRIS GRIMM,
OFFICER TOM SHACKFORD, OFFICER
ZACK SANDOVAL, AND SERGEANT
STEVE CRANE,

ORDER

Defendants.

_____ /

This case came before the court on January 25, 2013, for a status conference.
Garret Murai, Esq. and Edwin Wilson, Esq. appeared telephonically for plaintiffs. Kevin Gilbert,
Esq. appeared telephonically for defendants.

Good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. A Trial Confirmation Hearing is set for **April 12, 2013, at 10:00 a.m.**, at the
United States District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California, in Courtroom No. 27, before

////

////

1 the undersigned.¹ Any party may appear at the Trial Confirmation Hearing telephonically if the
2 party pre-arranges such appearance by contacting Pete Buzo, the courtroom deputy of the
3 undersigned magistrate judge, at (916) 930-4128, no later than 48 hours before the Trial
4 Confirmation Hearing; a land line telephone number must be provided.

5 2. The Jury Trial, estimated length of approximately twelve court days, is set to
6 commence on **April 29, 2013, at 9:00 a.m.**, before the undersigned.

7 DATED: January 25, 2013.

8
9 
10 _____
11 DALE A. DROZD
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13 DAD:6
14 Ddad1\orders.consent\hall0508.oah.012513

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24 _____
25 ¹ To the extent any party wishes to file a brief in advance of the trial confirmation hearing
26 raising issues that they request the court rule upon prior to trial, such a filing and any opposition
to that filing, shall comply with the briefing schedule set forth in the Local Rules relating to
motions. See Local Rule 230.