(PC) Wynn v. Cate et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE IT	NITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	THOMAS PAUL WYNN,	N. Chi C 10 0546 EED D
11	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-10-0546 EFB P
12	VS.	
13	MATTHEW CATE, et al.,	<u>ORDER</u>
14	Defendants.	
15	/	
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42	
17	U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28	
18	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and is before the undersigned pursuant to plaintiff's consent. See E.D. Cal.	
19	Local Rules, Appx. A, at (k)(4).	
20	On October 19, 2010, the court dismissed claims against defendants Smith, Leatherman,	
21	Merritt, Cooper, Lackner, Palubicki, Grannis, Martel, Wilson, Thompson, Kaplan, Barroga,	
22	Cate, and Tilton with leave to amend within 30 days. Plaintiff has not filed amended claims	
23	against these defendants.	
24		
25		
26	////	
		1

Doc. 11

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendants Smith, Leatherman, Merritt, Cooper, Lackner, Palubicki, Grannis, Martel, Wilson, Thompson, Kaplan, Barroga, Cate, and Tilton are dismissed from this action.

DATED: February 1, 2011.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE