

1 EILEEN M. TEICHERT, City Attorney (SBN 167027)
 2 **MARCOS A. KROPF, Senior Deputy City Attorney (SBN 180898)**
 3 MKropf@cityofsacramento.org
 4 CITY OF SACRAMENTO
 5 Mailing: P.O. Box 1948, Sacramento, CA 95812-1948
 6 Office: 915 I Street, 4th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814
 7 Telephone: (916) 808-5346
 8 Telecopier: (916) 808-7455

Attorneys for the CITY OF SACRAMENTO FIRE DEPARTMENT

7 MARY C. POLANSKY-GRAVATT (SBN 262093)
 8 mary@polanskygravattlaw.com
 9 LAW OFFICES OF MARY C. POLANSKY-GRAVATT
 10 164 Maple Street, Suite 5
 11 Auburn, CA 95603
 12 Telephone: (530) 823-2600
 13 Telecopier: (530) 823-7100

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 13 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15 ROBERT L. JEWETT,
 16 Plaintiff,
 17 vs.
 18 CITY OF SACRAMENTO FIRE
 19 DEPARTMENT, B. COOK, R. COPLEN, J.
 20 ARROYO and DOES 1-20,
 21 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:10-CV-00556-WBS-KJN
**STIPULATION TO DISMISS 42 U.S.C.
 § 1983 CLAIMS AND REQUEST
 REMAND TO STATE COURT; ORDER
 THEREON**

23 Plaintiff Robert L. Jewett and defendant City of Sacramento Fire Department, by and
 24 through their counsel of record, agree and stipulate to the dismissal, with prejudice, of the
 25 remaining claims alleged in this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Furthermore, upon

26 ////

27 ////

1 dismissal of those claims the parties request that the court remand this action with the
2 remaining state law claims to the Sacramento Superior Court.

3 Dated: October 6, 2010 EILEEN M. TEICHERT,
4 City Attorney
5

6 By: /s/ Marcos Kropf
7 **MARCOS A. KROPF**
8 Senior Deputy City Attorney

9 Attorneys for the
10 CITY OF SACRAMENTO
11 FIRE DEPARTMENT

12
13 Dated: October 6, 2010 LAW OFFICES OF
14 MARY C. POLANSKY-GRAVATT

15
16
17 By: /s/ Mary Polansky-Gravatt
18 **MARY C. POLANSKY-GRAVATT**
19 Attorney for ROBERT L. JEWETT

20
21 **ORDER**

22 Plaintiff Robert L. Jewett and defendant City of Sacramento Fire Department have
23 agreed to the dismissal, with prejudice, of any remaining claims alleged under 42 U.S.C. §
24 1983.

25 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that any remaining claims alleged in this action under
26 42 U.S.C. § 1983 are dismissed with prejudice.

27 *////*
28 *////*

1 AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case be, and the same is, remanded to the
2 Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Sacramento.

3 DATED: October 8, 2010

4 

5 WILLIAM B. SHUBB
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

7
8
9
10
11 145669
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28