(HC) Dixon v. Yates	3	Doc. 48
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	FRANK DIXON,	No. 2:10-cv-0631 JAM AC P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	JAMES YATES,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner is a state prisoner who has been proceeding with retained pro bono counsel.	
18	Petitioner seeks to have his pro bono counsel appointed to represent him pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §	
19	3006A. Although petitioner paid the initial \$5.00 filing fee, he has now submitted an in forma	
20	pauperis application that reveals that petitioner is unable to afford the costs of suit. Petitioner has	
21	made the requisite showing of indigence and meets the financial eligibility requirement for	
22	appointment of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. 3006A(a)(2); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Rule 8(c) of the Rules	
23	Governing Section 2254 Cases permits appointment of counsel under 18 U.S.C. § 3006A "at any	
24	stage of the proceeding." In light of the complexity of the legal issues involved, the court has	
25	determined that the interests of justice require appointment of counsel. <u>See</u> 18 U.S.C.	
26	§3006A(a)(2)(B); see also Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983).	
27	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
28	1. Petitioner's October 3, 2014 motion for the appointment of counsel, ECF No. 47, is	
	1	

## granted; 2. Eric Multhaup is appointed to represent petitioner pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3006A. 3. Petitioner is granted a thirty day extension of the time previously set for the filing of a reply to the answer. See ECF No. 43. DATED: October 10, 2014 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE