1 JOHN F. BARG (SBN 60230; <u>ifb@bcltlaw.com</u>) R. MORGAN GILHULY (SBN 133659; rmg@bcltlaw.com) DONALD E. SOBELMAN (SBN 184028; des@bcltlaw.com) ESTIE M. KUS (SBN 239523; emk@bcltlaw.com) BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP, LLP 3 350 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94104-1435 4 Telephone: (415) 228-5400 5 (415) 228-5450 Fax: 6 Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY, sued herein as BURLINGTON NORTHERN AND SANTA FE RAILWAY CORPORATION, 7 and UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 12 THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF Case No. 2:10-CV-00634-JAM-JFM THE CITY OF STOCKTON, a public body, 13 **ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS' NOTICE** corporate and politic, OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR 14 Plaintiff, **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** 15 v. BURLINGTON NORTHERN AND SANTA Date: December 7, 2011 FE RAILWAY CORPORATION; UNION Time: 9:30 a.m. 17 PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY; and Location: Courtroom 6 DOES 1 through 100, Hon. John A. Mendez Judge: 18 Defendants. 19 20 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS 21 The motion for summary judgment of Defendants BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY and 22 23 UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY ("the Railroads") came on regularly for hearing on 24 December 7, 2011 at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom 6 of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, Sacramento Division, before the Honorable John A. Mendez. Plaintiff was 25 represented by the law firm of Brown & Winters. Defendants were represented by the law firm 26 27 of Barg Coffin Lewis & Trapp, LLP. 28 Having considered the moving, opposition, and reply papers, the admissible evidence, and

BARG COTTIN LEWIS & TRAPP

[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

U.S.D.C. – E.D. Cal. Case No. 2:10-CV-00634-JAM-JFM

the arguments of counsel, the Court finds, based on the undisputed material facts, that the Railroads are entitled to summary judgment. The decision of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in *Redevelopment Agency of the City of Stockton v. BNSF Ry. Co.*, 643 F.3d 668 (9th Cir. 2011), and this Court's judgment following remand in that case (No. 2:05-CV-02087-DFL-JFM) are dispositive of all claims for relief asserted by plaintiff in the instant action. Moreover, the doctrine of collateral estoppel applies to preclude plaintiff from re-litigating the issues decided in that prior decision and judgment.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Railroads' motion for summary judgment is GRANTED.

DATED: 12/7/2011 /s/ John A. Mendez

HON. JOHN A. MENDEZ United States District Judge