(HC) Solis v. MedonId 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ALLEN SOLIS, 10 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-10-0637 FCD DAD P 12 VS. 13 MIKE McDONALD, 14 Respondent. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS 15 By order filed March 31, 2010, petitioner's application for writ of habeas corpus 16 17 was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended application was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and petitioner has not filed an amended application or otherwise 18 19 responded to the court's order. 20 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 21 22 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District 23 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-24 one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written 25 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the 26

Doc. 8

1	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
2	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	DATED: May 10, 2010.
4	2
5	Dale A. Dryd DALE A. DROZD
6	DALE A. DROZD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	DAD:sj
9	soli0637.fta.hab
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	