1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JERRY W. BAKER,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:10-cv-0640 LKK EFB P
12	vs.
13	HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a former county inmate proceeding without counsel in an action brought under
17	42 U.S.C. § 1983. On August 23, 2012, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment and
18	informed plaintiff of the requirements for opposing a motion for summary judgment. See Fed. R.
19	Civ. P. 56; Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 962-63 (9th Cir. 1998). Plaintiff has not filed an
20	opposition or a statement of no opposition to the motion.
21	In cases in which one party is incarcerated and proceeding without counsel, motions
22	ordinarily are submitted on the record without oral argument. E.D. Cal. Local Rule 230(1).
23	"Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be served and filed by the responding
24	party not more than twenty-one (21), days after the date of service of the motion." Id. A
25	responding party's failure "to file an opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be
26	deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion and may result in the imposition
	1

1 of sanctions." Id. Furthermore, a party's failure to comply with any order or with the Local 2 Rules "may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute 3 or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court." E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110. The court may 4 recommend that an action be dismissed with or without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party 5 disobeys an order or the Local Rules. See Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in dismissing pro se plaintiff's complaint for failing 6 7 to obey an order to re-file an amended complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se 8 9 plaintiff's failure to comply with local rule regarding notice of change of address affirmed). 10 On January 19, 2012, the court advised plaintiff of the requirements for filing an 11 opposition to the motion, that failure to oppose such a motion may be deemed a waiver of 12 opposition to the motion and that failure to comply with the Local Rules may result in dismissal. 13 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that, within 21 days of the date of this order, 14 plaintiff shall file either an opposition to the motion or a statement of no opposition. Failure to 15 comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed without

16 prejudice.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

DATED: September 26, 2012.

- his m

EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE