24

25

On May 27, 2010, this court ordered counsel for plaintiffs to show cause why sanctions should not issue for counsel's failure to file a status report prior to the scheduled May 24, 2010 status

(pretrial scheduling) conference. Court records indicate that the court's March 22, 2010 order setting a status conference was electronically served to plaintiffs' counsel at the following email wpalmer@palmercorp.com, dculhane@dim.com, addresses: achernyy@palmercorp.com. However, plaintiffs' counsel has declared under penalty of perjury that he "did not receive any notice (mail or email) of the May 24, 2010 hearing." Because defendants had not yet been served, no defendants were prejudiced by plaintiff's failure to file a status report. Plaintiffs' counsel is cautioned that he is expected to monitor the docket in this case and to ensure that his email program is functioning properly. Nonetheless, plaintiffs' counsel's declaration under penalty of perjury constitutes good cause. Thus, the court finds that no sanction is appropriate.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 22, 2010.

17

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

SENIOR JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT