1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JOHN R. DUREE, JR., INC. A Professional Law Corporation Attorney at Law – SBN 65684 ERIN J. RADEKIN Attorney at Law - SBN 214964 428 J Street, Suite 352 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 441-0562 Facsimile: (916) 447-2988 Attorneys for Petitioner AARON AUGUSTINE HEREDIA UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	AARON AUGUSTINE HEREDIA, Petitioner, v. MICHAEL MARTEL, Warden, Respondent.	Case No. 10-CV-0693-GEB-CMK APPLICATION AND STIPULATION TO ENLARGE TIME FOR PETITIONER TO FILE TRAVERSE; ORDER
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	By order dated May 11, 2012, this Court granted petitioner's first request for an enlargement of time by 60 days in which to file a traverse or reply to respondent's response to the amended betition, filed on April 5, 2012. Counsel for petitioner respectfully request an additional 60 days inlargement of time, for the reasons set forth herein. In late April, 2012, Mr. Duree and Ms. Radekin, counsel herein, were retained to represent a befendant in both pre-charging criminal proceedings and dependency proceedings relating to a child beath in Butte County, <i>In re Yhip</i> , petition nos. J-36319 and J-36320. The case involves over 1,000 bages of medical records, reports, and other discovery, and multiple medical experts will be estifying at the jurisdictional hearing, at which the cause of the child's death is a major disputed ssue. While the jurisdiction hearing was originally set for June 26, 2012, a continuance was granted	

in light of late discovery of the autopsy. In addition, other discovery has not yet been provided but is
expected to be released on July 19, 2012. It is contemplated that this new batch of discovery,
consisting of the police reports in the criminal matter, will be voluminous and that the jurisdictional
hearing will be set about 30 days thereafter. Within the last 60 days Mr. Duree and Ms. Radekin
have been devoting a large proportion of their time to preparing for this very important matter, which
involves not only the liberty interests of the defendant but also his parental rights, as his surviving
children were detained in the dependency proceeding.

8 In addition, Mr. Duree and Ms. Radekin were retained in a direct appeal of a federal habeas 9 petition matter currently pending in the United States Court of Appeal, Ninth Circuit, William Rennie 10 III v. Michael Martel, case no. 12-15156. Although this appeal has been pending since January, 11 2012, petitioner only retained counsel in mid-June, 2012. The opening brief in that matter is due on 12 August 20, 2012, and it is unlikely that the court will entertain any additional extensions of time. 13 Mr. Duree and Ms. Radekin are also involved in preparing a reply in the state habeas matter In re 14 Khatoonian, California Court of Appeal, Third District, case no. CRS-020823, which is due on July 12, 2012. 15

16 Finally, both Mr. Duree and Ms. Radekin have multiple federal and state felony cases 17 pending in pre-trial proceedings that involve voluminous discovery. Ms. Radekin is appointed 18 counsel for Rafael Velasco in the case United States v. Rafael Velasco, et al., case 1:11-cr-00186, 19 currently pending in this Court (Fresno Division), which involves approximately 3,000 pages of 20 discovery and numerous phone communications intercepted pursuant to wire tap orders. Additional 21 discovery is expected to be released within the next 30 days. Ms. Radekin is also retained counsel of 22 record in the case United States v. Gary Jason Grant, case no. 2:10-cr-00237-GEB, which involves 23 over 20,000 pages of discovery.

Mr. Duree is involved in four federal mortgage fraud cases currently pending in this Court
that involve voluminous discovery, *United States v. Vitaliy Andreyev*, case nos. 2:12-cr-00069-JAM, *United States v. Valeri Mysin*, case no. 2:11-cr-00427-LKK and 2:12-cr-00051-MCE and *United States v. Khadzhimurad Babatov*, case no. 2:11-cr-00514-GEB. Mr. Duree also has several other
felony state cases pending in state court in pre-trial proceedings, involving approximately three

-2-

1	appearances each week, some out-of-county.	
2	On July, 2012, undersigned counsel communicated with Jill Thayer, attorney representing	
3	respondent in this case, by email. Ms. Thayer stated that she has no opposition to a 60-day	
4	enlargement of time.	
5	Accordingly, application is being made to the Court that the deadline for petitioner to file the	
6	traverse be enlarged by 60 days.	
7	Dated: July 2, 2012 Respectfully submitted,	
8		
9	/s/ JOHN R. DUREE, JR.	
10	JOHN R. DUREE, JR. Attorney for Petitioner	
11	AARON AUGUSTINE HEREDIA	
12		
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
14		
15	DATED: July 10, 2012	
16	Loging M. Kellison	
17	CRAIG M. KELLISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	