

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DARRELL JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-10-0699 GGH P

vs.

WARDEN MARTEL, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

_____ /

On March 29, 2010, plaintiff filed his consent to the jurisdiction of the undersigned (docket #8). By order filed July 13, 2010, the court granted plaintiff twenty-eight days to file a second amended complaint. In the July 13th order, the court informed plaintiff of the deficiencies in his amended complaint. On July 29, 2010, plaintiff filed a response to the screening order that could be construed as a second amended complaint, but the court deemed it entirely insufficient and granted plaintiff an additional twenty-eight days to file a second amended complaint. The twenty-eight day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed a second amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

\\\\\\\\
\\\\\\\\
\\\\\\\\

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

For the reasons given in the July 13, 2010, order, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action be dismissed with prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

DATED: October 29, 2010

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows

GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

GGH:035
john0699.fta