н

1	
1	
2 3	
3 4	
4 5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	BILLY PAUL BIRDWELL, II,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-719 KJM GGH P
12	VS.
13	M. CATES, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
18	Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.
19	
	On December 30, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations,
20	On December 30, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the
20 21	
	which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the
21	which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed
21 22	which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.
21 22 23	which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations. The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
21 22 23 24	which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations. The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
 21 22 23 24 25 	which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations. The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1	1. The findings and recommendations filed December 30, 2010, are adopted in
2	full; and
3	2. Defendant Vallery, any ADA claim and any claims regarding the treatment of
4	inmate Mayfield are dismissed from this action.
5	DATED: March 23, 2011.
6	Amile
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	/bird0719.800
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2