1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DOCK McNEELY,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-10-0728 JAM KJM P
12	VS.
13	GARY SWARTHOUT, Warden,
14	Respondent. <u>AMENDED ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ
17	of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.
19	On August 6, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days.
22	Petitioner has filed objections to the findings and recommendations and has filed an additional
23	pleading he has titled "Affirmative Defense."
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule
25	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
26	entire file including petitioner's September 17, 2010 filing called "Affirmative Defense," the
	1

1	court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper
2	analysis.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed August 6, 2010, are adopted in full;
5	and
6	2. Petitioner's motion to amend or alter the judgment (Docket No. 14) is denied.
7	DATED: September 29, 2010.
8	
9	<u>/s/ John A. Mendez</u> UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	mcne0728.805
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
18 19	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2