(PS) Grill v. Quinn		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	JAMES S. GRILL,	
11	Plaintiff,	CIV-S-10-0757 GEB GGH PS
12	VS.	
13	TOM QUINN, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>
15		
16	On December 29, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations	
17	herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the	
18	findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. No objections were	
19	filed.	
20	Accordingly, the court presumes any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v.	
21	<u>United States</u> , 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are	
22	reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.	
23	1983).	
24	The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,	
25	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the Findings and Recommendations in full.	
26	\\\\\	
		1

Doc. 20

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The Findings and Recommendations filed December 29, 2010, are ADOPTED;

and

2. The Forest Service motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction is denied as to Claim 1, and granted as to Claim 2. The motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim is granted as to Claim 3.

Dated: March 1, 2011

GARLAND E. BUBRELL, JR.

United States District Judge