1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
б		
7		
8	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF	No. CIV. S-10-0759 FCD EFB
12	RURAL HEALTH CLINICS, and AVENAL	
13	COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTER	
14	Plaintiffs,	
15		
16	DAVID MAXWELL-JOLLY, Director of the California Department of Health Care	RELATED CASE ORDER
ΤQ	Service of TODY DOLICIAS Chief	
16 17	Services: TOBY DOUGLAS, Chief	
	Services; TOBY DOUGLAS, Chief Deputy Director for Health Care Programs of the California Department of Health Care Services; and the CALIFORNIA	
17	Services; TOBY DOUGLAS, Chief Deputy Director for Health Care Programs of the California Department of Health	
17 18	Services; TOBY DOUGLAS, Chief Deputy Director for Health Care Programs of the California Department of Health Care Services; and the CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE	
17 18 19	Services; TOBY DOUGLAS, Chief Deputy Director for Health Care Programs of the California Department of Health Care Services; and the CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES, Defendants.	No CIV 8-10-3465 MCE KIN
17 18 19 20	Services; TOBY DOUGLAS, Chief Deputy Director for Health Care Programs of the California Department of Health Care Services; and the CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES,	No. CIV. S-10-3465 MCE KJN
17 18 19 20 21	Services; TOBY DOUGLAS, Chief Deputy Director for Health Care Programs of the California Department of Health Care Services; and the CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES, Defendants.	No. CIV. S-10-3465 MCE KJN
17 18 19 20 21 22	Services; TOBY DOUGLAS, Chief Deputy Director for Health Care Programs of the California Department of Health Care Services; and the CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES, Defendants. / CALIFORNIA HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION,	No. CIV. S-10-3465 MCE KJN
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Services; TOBY DOUGLAS, Chief Deputy Director for Health Care Programs of the California Department of Health Care Services; and the CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES, Defendants. // CALIFORNIA HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION, Plaintiff, v. DAVID MAXWELL-JOLLY, Director of	No. CIV. S-10-3465 MCE KJN
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Services; TOBY DOUGLAS, Chief Deputy Director for Health Care Programs of the California Department of Health Care Services; and the CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES, Defendants. // CALIFORNIA HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION, Plaintiff, v. DAVID MAXWELL-JOLLY, Director of the California Department of Health Care Services; CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT	No. CIV. S-10-3465 MCE KJN
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Services; TOBY DOUGLAS, Chief Deputy Director for Health Care Programs of the California Department of Health Care Services; and the CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES, Defendants. // CALIFORNIA HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION, Plaintiff, v. DAVID MAXWELL-JOLLY, Director of the California Department of Health Care Services; CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES,	No. CIV. S-10-3465 MCE KJN
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Services; TOBY DOUGLAS, Chief Deputy Director for Health Care Programs of the California Department of Health Care Services; and the CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES, Defendants. // CALIFORNIA HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION, Plaintiff, v. DAVID MAXWELL-JOLLY, Director of the California Department of Health Care Services; CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT	No. CIV. S-10-3465 MCE KJN
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Services; TOBY DOUGLAS, Chief Deputy Director for Health Care Programs of the California Department of Health Care Services; and the CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES, Defendants. // CALIFORNIA HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION, Plaintiff, v. DAVID MAXWELL-JOLLY, Director of the California Department of Health Care Services; CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES,	No. CIV. S-10-3465 MCE KJN

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a) (E.D. Cal. 1997). The actions involve the same parties, are based on the same or similar claims, the same property transaction or event, similar questions of fact and the same questions of law, and would therefore entail a substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges. Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the action denominated CIV. S-10-3465 MCE KJN is reassigned to District Judge Frank C. Damrell, Jr., and Magistrate Judge Edmund F. Brennan for all further proceedings, and any dates currently set in this reassigned case <u>only</u> are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as: **CIV. S-10-3465 FCD EFB**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDER that the Clerk of Court issue an Order Requiring a Joint Status Report for the undersigned.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 25, 2011

FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE