28

/////

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 JON CHRIST, No. 2:10-cv-0760 EFB P 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. **ORDER** 14 R. BLACKWELL, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 18 U.S.C. § 1983. He requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to 19 require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States 20 Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an 21 attorney to voluntarily to represent such a plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); Terrell v. 22 Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th 23 Cir. 1990). When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must 24 consider the likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate 25 his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Palmer v. Valdez, 560 26 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009). Having considered those factors, the court finds there are no 27 exceptional circumstances in this case.

1

Plaintiff complains that, because he has no lawyer, defendants have refused his document production requests. However, as plaintiff is aware, his motion to compel further responses to those requests was denied because plaintiff failed to identify the specific responses he found inadequate and describe why they were inadequate. ECF No. 45. Plaintiff did not file a more specific motion to compel despite the court's order telling him what was required in such a motion.

Plaintiff also complains that he hasn't been able to communicate with his witnesses, both incarcerated and free. Plaintiff has successfully obtained the court's intervention to gain access to these witnesses; prison officials have complied with the court's orders that they permit plaintiff to communicate with his incarcerated witnesses and provide plaintiff with the last known addresses of his released witnesses. ECF Nos. 138, 157. Plaintiff does not explain how appointment of counsel will convince these individuals to cooperate with his case if he has thus far been unable to do so. If plaintiff's witnesses do not wish to communicate with plaintiff and/or refuse to testify voluntarily, plaintiff may follow the procedures set forth by the court for obtaining the presence at trial of witnesses who will not voluntarily testify. ECF No. 46 at 2-4. While plaintiff states that his witnesses are "gone" and he has "no way to locate them," the record in this action shows that plaintiff has been granted access to his incarcerated witnesses and been provided with any last known addresses of his unincarcerated witnesses.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 177) is denied.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: September 23, 2015.