1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	BERNARD L. SMITH,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-0766 DAD P
12	VS.
13	CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON – SACRAMENTO, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	
15 16	On June 21, 2011, defendents filed a motion to dismiss this action pursuant to
16	On June 21, 2011, defendants filed a motion to dismiss this action pursuant to
16 17	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and unenumerated Rule 12(b). Plaintiff had not
16 17 18	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and unenumerated Rule 12(b). Plaintiff had not opposed the motion, so on August 3, 2011, the court issued an order to show cause requiring
16 17 18 19	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and unenumerated Rule 12(b). Plaintiff had not opposed the motion, so on August 3, 2011, the court issued an order to show cause requiring plaintiff to file an opposition, if any, to defendants' motion to dismiss within twenty-one days.
16 17 18	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and unenumerated Rule 12(b). Plaintiff had not opposed the motion, so on August 3, 2011, the court issued an order to show cause requiring
16 17 18 19	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and unenumerated Rule 12(b). Plaintiff had not opposed the motion, so on August 3, 2011, the court issued an order to show cause requiring plaintiff to file an opposition, if any, to defendants' motion to dismiss within twenty-one days.
16 17 18 19 20	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and unenumerated Rule 12(b). Plaintiff had not opposed the motion, so on August 3, 2011, the court issued an order to show cause requiring plaintiff to file an opposition, if any, to defendants' motion to dismiss within twenty-one days. Plaintiff has since filed an opposition to the motion. Accordingly, the court will discharge the
16 17 18 19 20 21	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and unenumerated Rule 12(b). Plaintiff had not opposed the motion, so on August 3, 2011, the court issued an order to show cause requiring plaintiff to file an opposition, if any, to defendants' motion to dismiss within twenty-one days. Plaintiff has since filed an opposition to the motion. Accordingly, the court will discharge the order to show cause.
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and unenumerated Rule 12(b). Plaintiff had not opposed the motion, so on August 3, 2011, the court issued an order to show cause requiring plaintiff to file an opposition, if any, to defendants' motion to dismiss within twenty-one days. Plaintiff has since filed an opposition to the motion. Accordingly, the court will discharge the order to show cause. Defendants have also filed a motion for an extension of time to file a reply in
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and unenumerated Rule 12(b). Plaintiff had not opposed the motion, so on August 3, 2011, the court issued an order to show cause requiring plaintiff to file an opposition, if any, to defendants' motion to dismiss within twenty-one days. Plaintiff has since filed an opposition to the motion. Accordingly, the court will discharge the order to show cause. Defendants have also filed a motion for an extension of time to file a reply in support of their motion to dismiss. Good cause appearing, the court will grant defendants'
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and unenumerated Rule 12(b). Plaintiff had not opposed the motion, so on August 3, 2011, the court issued an order to show cause requiring plaintiff to file an opposition, if any, to defendants' motion to dismiss within twenty-one days. Plaintiff has since filed an opposition to the motion. Accordingly, the court will discharge the order to show cause. Defendants have also filed a motion for an extension of time to file a reply in support of their motion to dismiss. Good cause appearing, the court will grant defendants' motion.
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and unenumerated Rule 12(b). Plaintiff had not opposed the motion, so on August 3, 2011, the court issued an order to show cause requiring plaintiff to file an opposition, if any, to defendants' motion to dismiss within twenty-one days. Plaintiff has since filed an opposition to the motion. Accordingly, the court will discharge the order to show cause. Defendants have also filed a motion for an extension of time to file a reply in support of their motion to dismiss. Good cause appearing, the court will grant defendants' motion.

1	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The court's August 3, 2011 order to show cause is discharged;
3	2. Defendants' motion for an extension of time (Doc. No. 48) is granted; and
4	3. Defendants shall file a reply in support of their motion to dismiss on or before
5	September 8, 2011.
6	DATED: September 1, 2011.
7	
8	Dale A. Dright
9	DALE A. DROZD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	smit0766.36reply
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I