

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HEATHER DELUZ,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-10-0809 GEB DAD PS

vs.

THE LAW OFFICE OF FREDERICK
S. COHEN, et al.,

ORDER

Defendants.

_____ /

This case came before the court on June 18, 2010, for hearing on the motion to dismiss filed by defendants Law Office of Fredrick S. Cohen and Fredrick S. Cohen, individually (the Cohen defendants). Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se in this action, appeared on her own behalf. Jason J. Sommer, Esq. appeared for the Cohen defendants and was accompanied by defendant Fredrick S. Cohen.

Plaintiff did not respond to defendants' motion by filing written opposition or a statement of no opposition to the motion, as required by Local Rule 230(c). In light of plaintiff's pro se status and in the interests of justice, the court established a briefing schedule and continued the hearing of defendants' motion to August 6, 2010, at which time the motion will be heard concurrently with the motion to dismiss filed by defendants Stephanie Stilley and Thomas L. Russell.

1 The court advised plaintiff in open court of her duty to comply with applicable
2 rules and orders. Plaintiff is cautioned that a party representing himself or herself without an
3 attorney

4 is bound by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, these [local]
5 rules, and all other applicable law. All obligations placed on
6 "counsel" by these Rules apply to individuals appearing in propria
7 persona. Failure to comply therewith may be ground for dismissal,
8 judgment by default, or any other sanction appropriate under these
9 Rules.

10 Local Rule 183(a).

11 IT IS ORDERED that:

12 1. Hearing of the motion filed by defendants the Law Office of Fredrick S. Cohen
13 and Fredrick S. Cohen, individually (Doc. No. 7) is continued to August 6, 2010, at 10:00 a.m. in
14 Courtroom 27.

15 2. Plaintiff's written opposition or a statement of no opposition to the Cohen
16 defendants' motion shall be filed with the court and served by mail on defendants' counsel on or
17 before July 9, 2010; plaintiff's response to the motion must be received by the Clerk for filing on
18 or before July 9, 2010, and must include a proof of service showing that the response has been
19 served on defendants' counsel.

20 3. If plaintiff files timely opposition to the Cohen defendants' motion, the
21 defendants' reply shall be filed and served on or before July 23, 2010.

22 4. Plaintiff's response to the motion to dismiss filed by defendants Stilley and
23 Russell (Doc. No. 6) shall be filed and served on or before July 23, 2010, as required by Local
24 Rule 230(c), and any reply shall be filed and served in accordance with Local Rule 230(d).

25 5. The Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference set for August 6, 2010, at 11:00
26 a.m. is vacated pending the court's ruling on defendants' motions to dismiss, and the parties are
relieved of the obligation to file status reports.

////

