

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE:

SK FOODS, L.P.

Debtor.

BRADLEY D. SHARP,

Plaintiff,

v.

SCOTT SALYER, et al.,

Defendants.

CIV. NO. S-10-810 LKK

_____ /

IN RE:

SK FOODS, L.P.

Debtor.

BRADLEY D. SHARP,

Plaintiff,

v.

SKF AVIATION, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

CIV. NO. S-10-811 LKK

_____ /

1 IN RE:

2 SK FOODS, L.P.

3 Debtor.

4 BRADLEY D. SHARP,

CIV. NO. S-10-812 LKK

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 SSC FARMS 1, LLC, et al.,

8 Defendants.

9 _____/

10 SK FOODS, L.P.

11 Debtor.

12 BRADLEY SHARP,

CIV. NO. S-10-1492 LKK

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 SSC FARMS 1, LLC, et al.,

16 Defendants.

17 _____/

18 ////

19 IN RE:

20 SK FOODS, L.P.

CIV. NO. S-10-1493 LKK

21 Debtor.

22 _____/

23 ////

24 ////

25 ////

26 ////

1 IN RE:

2 SK FOODS, L.P.

3 Debtor.

4 BRADLEY SHARP,

CIV. NO. S-10-1496 LKK

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 CSSS, L.P., et al.,

8 Defendants.

9 _____/

10 IN RE:
10 SK FOODS, L.P.

11 Debtor.

12 BRADLEY SHARP,

CIV. NO. S-10-1497 LKK

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 FRED SALYER IRREVOCABLE
16 TRUST, et al.,

17 Defendants.

18 _____/

18 IN RE:

19 SK FOODS, L.P.

20 Debtor.

21 BRADLEY SHARP,

CIV. NO. S-10-1498 LKK

22 Plaintiff,

23 v.

24 SKF AVIATION, LLC., et al.,

25 Defendants.

26 _____/

1 IN RE:

2 SK FOODS, L.P.

3 Debtor.

4 BRADLEY SHARP,

CIV. NO. S-10-1499 LKK

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 SCOTT SALYER, et al.,

8 Defendants.

9 _____/
IN RE:

10 SK FOODS, L.P.

11 Debtor.

12 BRADLEY SHARP,

CIV. NO. S-10-1500 LKK

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 SCOTT SALYER, et al.,

16 Defendants.

O R D E R

17 _____/
18 On October 12, 2010, the court heard two appeals of orders
19 from the Bankruptcy Court. The first concerned an order granting
20 a preliminary injunction. 2:10-cv-810; 2:10-cv-811; 2:10-cv-812.
21 The second concerned an order denying a stay of certain adversary
22 proceedings. 2:10-cv-1492, 2:10-cv-1493, 2:10-cv-1496, 2:10-cv-
23 1497, 2:10-cv-1498, 2:10-cv-1499, 2:10-cv-1500.

24 At the hearing, the court ordered Appellants and Appellees to
25 file proposed orders that balance the interests of both parties.
26 Further, the court instructed that the proposed orders reflect the

1 following:

2 (1) Frederick Scott Salyer and his counsel shall not be
3 required to provide discovery.

4 (2) Methods to insure that the preliminary injunction
5 entered shall be obeyed.

6 (3) Breadth of the stay of discovery.

7 The court also requested that the parties propose a procedure
8 through which counsel for Appellants can be paid for their legal
9 fees and costs. While recognizing that this issue was not addressed
10 in the instant orders on appeal and, therefore, not formally before
11 this court, it nonetheless will allow the parties to propose a
12 resolution to this issue if they wish to do so.

13 For the foregoing reasons, the court ORDERS that the parties
14 shall file proposed orders, as described above, by October 26,
15 2010.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 DATED: October 13, 2010.

18

19

20

21


22

23

24

25

26


LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
SENIOR JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT