

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANDREY LARSHIN,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-10-0835 GGH P

vs.

RAUL LOPEZ,

Respondent.

ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding with retained counsel, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

On April 8, 2010, petitioner filed a petition containing one exhausted claim. On April 27, 2010, petitioner filed a motion to stay, pending exhaustion of a habeas petition that contains an unexhausted claim. However, petitioner’s motion does not provide sufficient support to grant a stay nor does petitioner indicate the type of stay he requests. Petitioner’s motion to stay is denied, but petitioner is granted leave to file a new motion for a stay within twenty-one days. If petitioner chooses to file a new motion for a stay, petitioner shall address the cases discussed below. The court also notes that the current petition only contains the one exhausted claim.

\\\\\\

