(PC) Lauricella v. Salinas			
1	1		
2			
3			
4	4		
5	5		
6	6		
7	7		
8	8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	JOSEPH LAURICELLA,		
11	1 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-0859 LKK GGH P		
12	2 vs.		
13	S.M. SALINAS, et al.,		
14	4 Defendants. <u>FINDINGS &amp; RECOMMENDATI</u>	<u>ONS</u>	
15	5		
16	By order filed June 15, 2010, the court granted plaintiff twenty-eight days to file a		
17	civil rights complaint. The twenty-eight day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed a		
18	civil rights complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.		
19	For the reasons given in the June 15, 2010, order, IT IS HEREBY		
20	RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed with prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R.		
21	Civ. P. 41(b).		
22	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District		
23	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within		
24	fourteen (14) days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file		
25	written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate		
26	2.6 /////		
	1		

Doc. 12

Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. DATED: August 30, 2010 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows **GREGORY G. HOLLOWS** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE GGH:035 laur0859.fta