1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	TERRENCE BROWNLEE,
10	Petitioner, No. CIV-S-10-0925 LKK KJM P
11	VS.
12	TOM FEILKEN,
13	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
14	/
15	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no
16	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d
17	453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at
18	any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing
19	§ 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be
20	served by the appointment of counsel at the present time.
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's request for
22	appointment of counsel (Docket No. 63) is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion
23	at a later stage of the proceedings.
24	DATED: December 10, 2010.
25	Amile
26	/kly/brow0925.110(6) U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE