1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	MEL TYRONE EDWARD, No. CIV S-10-0979-JAM-CMK-P
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	M.D. McDONALD, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to
19	Eastern District of California local rules.
20	On February 11, 2011, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations
21	herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file
22	objections within a specified time. Timely objections to the findings and recommendations have
23	been filed.
24	///
25	///
26	///
	1

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule
2	304(f), this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
3	entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
4	proper analysis.
5	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
6	1. The findings and recommendations filed February 11, 2011, are adopted in
7	full;
8	2. This action proceeds on plaintiff's Eighth Amendment medical care claim
9	against defendants Petersen, Hogan, Martin, Arnold, Medina, and Swingle only; and
10	3. All other defendants and claims are dismissed with prejudice.
11	DATED: May 10, 2011
12	/s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I