1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JESSE LEE SHAVERS, Jr.,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV-S-10-1001 JAM KJM P
12	VS.
13	D. CLARK, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	On September 21, 2010, defendants filed a motion to dismiss. Plaintiff has not
17	opposed the motion. Plaintiff has, however, filed a notice of change of address, in compliance
18	with Local Rule 183(b). In that notice, he also states that officials at other prisons have deprived
19	him of his property, including legal materials, in retaliation for filing unspecified complaints. He
20	requests assistance from the court on this matter. See Docket No. 16.
21	Plaintiff is informed that he must respond to the motion to dismiss with an
22	opposition or statement of non-opposition. Failure to do so will result in dismissal of this action
23	under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).
24	Plaintiff is further informed that a claim of retaliation unrelated to the acts alleged
25	against defendants in this lawsuit must be brought in a separate action. Plaintiff does not name
26	the prison officials who are allegedly withholding legal materials from him. As a general rule,
	1

this court is unable to issue an injunctive order against individuals who are not parties to the suit
 pending before it. See Zenith Radio Corp. v. Hazeltine Research, Inc., 395 U.S. 100, 110
 (1969). Likewise, if the injury caused by the alleged deprivation of legal materials hinders
 plaintiff from pursuing a claim other than the ones alleged in this case, the deprivation and injury
 are not redressable in this case.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within thirty days of the
date of this order, plaintiff shall file an opposition to the motion to dismiss or a statement of nonopposition. Failure to comply with this order will result in dismissal of this action pursuant to
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

DATED: November 2, 2010.

shav1001.46osc

U.S. N