1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	LEE EDWARD HARRIS,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-1031 JAM EFB P
12	VS.
13	HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a prisoner without counsel suing for alleged civil rights violations. See 42
17	U.S.C. § 1983. By order filed December 10, 2010, the court found that plaintiff had stated
18	sufficient allegations to state a claim against defendant Sisson and informed plaintiff he could
19	proceed against defendant Sisson only or file an amended complaint to attempt to state
20	cognizable claims against other defendants. The court also informed plaintiff that the court
21	would consider his decision to proceed only as to defendant Sisson as consent to the dismissal of
22	plaintiff's defective claims against defendants Hull, Dillard, Turner, Cochoran, Felker,
23	McDonald, Drieth, Grannis and Tinetti, without prejudice. On December 20, 2010, plaintiff
24	returned documents for service against defendant Sisson.
25	////
26	////
	1

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that plaintiff's claims against
defendants Hull, Dillard, Turner, Cochoran, Felker, McDonald, Drieth, Grannis and Tinetti be
dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

1 Dated: January 5, 2011.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE