1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DARRYL LEE JOHNSON,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-1034 GEB EFB P
12	VS.
13	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,
14	Defendants. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42
17	U.S.C. § 1983. On October 19, 2010, the court dismissed plaintiff's complaint with leave to
18	amend. The dismissal order explained the complaint's deficiencies, gave plaintiff 30 days to file
19 20	an amended complaint correcting those deficiencies, and warned plaintiff that failure to file an
20	amended complaint would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed for failure to
21	state a claim.
22 23	The 30-day period has expired and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or
23 24	otherwise responded to the court's order. ¹
24	¹ Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned,

26 plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record

Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed. These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: January 4, 2011. - Lib m EDMUND BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE address of the party is fully effective.